1		MR. REAVES: We have nothing						
2		further, Your Honor.						
3		THE COURT: May Mr. Guthrie be						
4		excused, gentlemen?						
5		MR. REAVES: No objection.						
6		THE COURT: All right, Mr. Guthrie,						
7		you are finally excused. You can go back to Waco						
8		or whatever you need to.						
9		(Witness excused.)						
10		(Brief recess.)						
11		MR. REAVES: We call as our next						
12		witness Calvin Nesbit.						
13		C A L V I N N E S B I T, called as a witness						
14		on behalf of the Defendant, having been duly sworn,						
15		testified as follows:						
16		DIRECT EXAMINATION						
17	QUES	STIONS BY MR. REAVES:						
18	Q	Mr. Nesbit, if you would, please, state your name						
19		for the record.						
20	A	Calvin Nesbit.						
21	Q	And how do you spell your last name?						
22	A	N-e-s-b-i-t.						
23	Q	Okay, where do you live, Mr. Nesbit?						
24	A	In Waco.						
25	Q	Okay, and what do you do for a living?						

Okay, in your mechanic work?

Okay, how did Mr. Melendez bring his truck to you?

23

24

25

O

Α

Q

(Nodded.)

1		Did he drive it, or was it towed out there?
2	A	No, him and Dave Cook pulled it out there.
3	Q	Okay, was it capable of being driven, at that time?
4	A	Nő.
5	Q	Okay, what was the matter with it?
6	A	The starter was out on it and carburetor was messed
7		up on it and points was bad in it and fuel pump was
8		out.
9	Q	Okay, was it in a running condition? Could you get
10		it going?
11	A	Not very easy.
12	Q	Okay, do you recall when it was that he brought the
13		truck to you?
14	A	It was I can't remember the date, but it stayed
15		at my house for about three months.
16	Q	Okay, do you recall what time period it stayed at
17		your house? Do you have referenced any time that
18		you can tie it to?
19	A	Well, about two months before July and all through
20		July, just about.
21	Q	Okay, how can you how can you tie it to that
22		time period?
23	A	Well, I usually have a family barbecue every 4th
24		of July.
25	Q	Okay, and did you have one the 4th of July, 1982?

Α

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Okay, was Mr. Melendez' truck there on that day?
3	A	Yes, it was there.
4	Q	Okay, did it stay there how long did it stay
5		there after that date?
6	A	Until along towards the last of July.
7	Q	Okay, what kind of condition was the truck in on the
8		4th of July?
9	A	It wasn't running.
10	Q	Okay.
11	A	It had three flats on it.
12	Q	Three flats? Still in the same condition as it was
13		when it was brought to you?
14	A	(Nodded.)
15	Q	You had not been able to do any work on it?
16	A	No, he hadn't brought me no money to get the parts
17		and stuff.
18	Q	Okay, did Mr. Melendez ever come, to your knowledge,
19		and pick the truck up?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Did he come pick it up and bring it back at some
22		later time?
23	Α	No.
24	Q	When was the last time you saw the truck?

It was about, oh, third or fourth week of July,

1		I guess.
. 2	Q	Okay, and what happened to the truck on the fourth
3		week of July?
4	A	Well, we fixed it.
5	Q	Who fixed it?
6	A	I did. I fixed it first, and then his stepdaddy
7		came out there and fixed the tires and everything
8		on it.
9	Q	Okay, who drove the truck off?
10	A	I don't know. I didn't see them drive it off.
11	Q	Was that the last did was Mr. Melendez out
12		there at the time?
13	А	(Nodded.)
14	Q	Is that the last time you saw the truck?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q ·	Okay, it was not brought back to you at some
17		later date to be worked on?
18	А	No.
19		MR. REAVES: Okay, Your Honor,
20		I don't think we have any further questions.
21		THE COURT: All right.
22		CROSS EXAMINATION
23	QUE	STIONS BY MR. FEAZELL:
24	Q	Mr. Nesbit, you live in Bosqueville, don't you?
25	А	Yes, sir.

wasn't it?

5490

		1	
<u></u> 6	1	A	No.
	2	Q	Now, Gilbert wasn't out there with him when the
	3		truck was taken away.
	4	A	No, but his stepdaddy is the one that got it, I
	5		think.
	6	Q	Yes, sir, and that was after Gilbert Melendez was
	7		in the county jail.
	8	A	Maybe so. I don't know.
	9	Q	Okay, but you didn't see Gilbert out there with his
	10		stepdaddy taking it away. That's the point.
	11	A	No, I wasn't there when he had taken it.
	12	Ω	Okay. Now, if it has already been testified to
	13		by Gilbert Melendez that the truck was taken away
· <del>-</del>	14		sometime in September or that he went to jail in
	15		September and it was after he was in jail, you
	16		wouldn't have any reason to dispute that, would
	17		you?
	18	A	It was in July when he had taken it last part of
	19		July.
•	20	Q	How is it that you are so positive that it was the
	21		last part of July and not sometime in September?
	22	A	Because I moved to Fort Worth in the last part of
	23		July.
	24	Q	Okay, I thought you said you were still living at
	25		Bosqueville.

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Α

(Nodded.)

No.

ignition on it and he bought the wrong ignition and Now, it was running up until that time, wasn't it?

got the wires crossed on it?

i	A	Yes, I tried to start it, but it wouldn't start.
2	Q	Okay, but that was after Gilbert had tried to put
3		the ignition switch on it, right?
4	A	That was before.
5	Q	Do you know where that truck is now?
6	A	No, I sure don't.
7	Q	Mr. Nesbit, do you remember how many times Gilbert
8		came out and worked on the truck?
9	A	One time.
10	Q	How many times was it, Mr. Nesbit, that you might
11		have been off working on your other job, working
12		on the roofs or maybe off somewhere else and you
13		didn't know that Gilbert had been out there working
14		on it?
15	A	Well, it never was moved from there because it was
16		still sitting in the same place.
17	Q	Right, but that is not what I am asking you. I am
18		asking you, sir, Gilbert could have come out there
19		without you knowing it. Isn't that true?
20	A	He could have but
21	Ω	You didn't have it locked up in a garage or anything
22		like that, did you?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Just had it sitting out under a tree in a field by
25		your house?

<u></u> 9	1	A	Yes.
	2	Q	Okay, you know David Spence, don't you?
	3	A	No, sir.
	4	Q	You never had a conversation with David Spence,
	5		the man sitting here?
	6	A	No.
	7	Q	You don't remember Gilbert bringing him out to your
	8		place with him one time?
	9	А	He might have with Dave Cook and them. I don't
	10		know.
	11		MR. FEAZELL: Okay, we will
	12		pass the witness.
	13		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	14	QUES	STIONS BY MR. REAVES:
	15	Q	Mr. Nesbit, you told Mr. Feazell you had never
	16		given a written statement regarding this. Is that
	17		correct?
	18	А	That is correct.
	19	Q	When was the first time anybody came and talked to
• .	20		you about it?
	21	А	Two weeks ago, I think, it was.
	22	Q	Okay. Now, once Mr. Melendez brought his truck
9	23		there to you, it never he never took it away

and brought it back a couple of days later?

24

25

Α

No.

1	Q	And it wasn't running because of the problems that
2		you described?
3	A	That is right.
4	Q	What was the main problem with it that kept it
5		from running?
6	A	The fuel pump, really, and the carburetor.
7		MR. REAVES: Okay, no further
8		questions, Your Honor.
9		RECROSS EXAMINATION
10	QUE	STIONS BY MR. FEAZELL:
11	Q	Gilbert never brought you the money to fix it,
12		did he?
13	A	No.
14	Q	And you being a man who works as a mechanic for
15		a living, you wouldn't work on somebody's automobile
16		unless they paid you for it unless they were a
17		pretty close friend. Isn't that right?
18	A	Well, I was doing it for to be a friend, you know.
19	Q	I understand, but I am saying, he didn't bring you
20		the money. Isn't that right?
21	A	That is right.
22	Q	And since he didn't bring you the money, you didn't
23		actually do the work on it, did you?
24	A	That is right.
25		MR. FEAZELL: That is all.

21	1	MR. REAVES: We don't have any
200 ° C No. 1	. 2	further questions, Your Honor.
	3	THE COURT: May he be excused?
	4	MR. FEAZELL: Yes, sir.
	5	MR. REAVES: Defense has no
	6	objection.
	7	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
	8	You can go back to Waco or Fort Worth or wherever.
	9	(Witness excused.)
	10	MR. REAVES: Judge, we have a
	11	matter we need to take up outside the presence of
. 0	12	the jury.
	13	THE COURT: How long do you
Transie	14	need?
	15	MR. REAVES: About 10 minutes.
	16	THE COURT: All right.
	17	(Whereupon the jury retired from
	18	(the courtroom and the following (proceedings took place out of
	19	(their presence and hearing:
• .	20	MR. REAVES: Your Honor, at
	21	this time, we have called all the witnesses we
	22	intend on calling, both Mr. Vance and myself.
	23	Mr. Spence has a motion he
	24	would like to make on his own behalf.
	25	THE COURT: All right, proceed.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, at this time, I would like to make a motion for a 3 mistrial on the third ground of my motion to dismiss 4 counsel that I took up before the trial started. 5 Would you like me to repeat that? 6 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 7 THE DEFENDANT: That Mr. Vance 8 and Mr. Reaves refused to offer evidence that I want offered to the Court, to-wit: That Ronnie Lee 10 Breiton reportedly came home the morning of July 11 the 14th with his clothes covered with blood. Also, 12 that he told his stepmother that he had been out 13 to Speegleville Park. 14 This is testimony from his 15 stepmother. I also --16 MR. FEAZELL: Objection, 17 Your Honor. That is not testimony from his 18 stepmother. The Defendant is misquoting any prior 19 evidence. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I 21 think --22 THE COURT: Well, it is in the 23 form of a motion. It is not testimony in any

event, but go ahead and recite your motion.

THE DEFENDANT:

24

25

Also, that his

wife cashed paychecks for the girl victim, Jill Montgomery, on the evening of July 13th, the alleged date of the offense. His wife being Joyce Breiton, and that she also reportedly has told someone that she seen him and the bloody clothes.

She refuses to come forward with any information until her husband is securely behind bars. Also, that they associated --

MR. FEAZELL: Your Honor, we would object to him going on further with this on the record. The motion is in writing, and the things he is saying -- I don't know if that motion is under oath or not, but it is not within his personal knowledge, and there is absolutely nothing to substantiate it.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, this is offered -- the first motion has done been put into the record.

THE COURT: Have you already filed it, Mr. Spence? Is this the one you filed back at the pretrial?

MR. FEAZELL: It is the same one, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I am familiar with it then. I thought you had something

new or an amendment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: No, I told you, Your Honor, that it was the third charge that I put on there and asked if you wanted me to reread it.

THE COURT: All right, no, I have read that motion. Now, what -- did you want to renew another one? All right, that motion right there is denied, but what was the other one about your counsel -- a new one you said you had?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I said that I wanted to make this a motion for mistrial with the third ground for my motion to dismiss counsel.

THE COURT: All right.

I would also

THE DEFENDANT: like to attach this letter to this motion and reurge my motion to dismiss counsel because they refused to offer this or cross examine Gilbert Melendez. According to this letter that was sent to me through a trustee to where he was trying to tell me what to tell them and that they would show me where the bodies were found and everything like that. I wanted my lawyers to cross examine him on it, and they refused to do so.

> THE COURT: All right, motion

2. 5

for mistrial is denied, and Mr. Spence, you didn't hire these attorneys. I appointed them, and I am not going to discharge them. That motion is denied.

MR. REAVES: Your Honor, in light of the disagreements we have had over the handling of the case, I would personally ask to withdraw.

MR. VANCE: I would also join in that.

 $\label{eq:the_court:} \mbox{That motion is denied}$  as to each of you.

MR. BUTLER: Judge, pursuant to this motion and the motion when Mr. Spence first urged it, there was testimony under oath in chambers in the first trial regarding this evidence that he wishes to offer on the grounds he stated a few minutes ago regarding testimony of Catherine Breiton.

I would request that for purposes of his motion that all those in-camera proceedings be entered as part of the record in this case. There has been -- it has been reduced to writing. The Court Reporter has transcribed it.

I would request that that hearing -I believe, there were six or seven witnesses in
camera, Joyce Breiton -- no, Catherine Breiton,

24\_0

1 Mr. and Mrs. Hiddle and several Waco police officers who testified in chambers. We would request that 2 3 that be made part of the record for this purpose. THE COURT: All right. 5 MR. FEAZELL: And further, the 6 Judge's ruling in that cause, Your Honor, as to the 7 inadmissibility of that evidence and the fact that 8 it was groundless. 9 All right. Now, THE COURT: 10 that was conducted as part of the first trial by 11 Judge Allen? 12 MR. FEAZELL: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: All right, that will 14 be made part of this Statement of Facts. Now, is 15 there anything else? 16 Mr. Spence, do you have anything 17 else? 18 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, Your Honor, 19 other than to just reurge my dismissal of counsel. 20 I do the hiring, THE COURT: 21 and I will do the firing. Right now, they are full 22 time on the job. 23 Your Honor, don't --THE DEFENDANT: 24 I mean, don't I have to be satisfied with my counsel? 25 I mean, this is the representation of my life here.

U

THE COURT: You are entitled to good lawyers and to a fair trial. You are not entitled to the best lawyers in the world, nor a perfect trial, and I assure you that you have good lawyers, and as far as I can tell, you have had a very fair trial, and that is the way we are going

Every time you don't like something, you don't get a new lawyer. That would be like somebody getting a scratch on a brand new car so they get a new one every time. We can't run our society this way.

to proceed from here.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand,

Your Honor, but they refused to offer testimony that

I wanted offered. They refused to cross examine

Gilbert Melendez on the letter that he sent me when

I asked them to do so. I mean, he has got statements

in here to where he --

MR. FEAZELL: Judge, we would object to any extraneous statements. If he wants to make that letter a part of his motion on this --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I am just trying to give you an understanding as to why I am so disagreeable with my defense. I mean, he states in here that they would show me where the

2\_\_8

bodies were. He -- as a matter of fact, he states that they showed him.

THE COURT: All right, I will take it under advisement. I have already considered it back about three weeks ago, and that is why you have your lawyers to advise you as to what is the best thing according to the law. They have been trained in this area, and they are doing a good job.

THE DEFENDANT: They didn't advise me on nothing. They just told me they weren't going to offer the evidence period.

I wanted the evidence introduced, and they said they just wasn't going to do it.

THE COURT: I am sure that there are some very good reasons, and it is in your best interest that they have decided not to do that.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, shouldn't I be the judge of what is in my best interest? I mean, I am on trial here for my life --- not Mr. Vance or Mr. Reaves.

THE COURT: You are the Defendant, and they are your counsel, and they are doing their best to represent your best interests.

THE DEFENDANT: They get appointed to me by the Court, and I have to accept them whether

I like them or not. If they refuse to offer testimony that I think is important to help me save my life and they refuse to, I just have to be happy with that and go along with it?

belabor the point. I have been over this with you on several previous occasions, and you have said that you understand and have acknowledged that you understand the role of your court-appointed counsel, and we are going to leave it at that. We are going to proceed with the trial.

Now, who are the next witnesses?

MR. VANCE: We are going to

rest, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, bring the jury back in.

MR. BUTLER: Judge, before you bring them back -- Judge, we are going to have to have until in the morning to bring rebuttal witnesses because we did not know how long their testimony would be, but we can work on the Charge this afternoon.

THE COURT: We will get the jury in and let them go, and we can do that this afternoon on our own time. Bring the jury back.

(Whereupon the jury returned into the courtroom and the following (proceedings took place:

THE COURT: All right, gentlemen,

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MR.}}$$  REAVES: Your Honor, at this time, the Defense rests.

let's proceed.

MR. FEAZELL: Your Honor, the State has rebuttal witnesses to call, and they will not be here until in the morning.

and gentlemen of the jury, there is some work to be done by the Court and the attorneys which we can spend this afternoon in that matter, and that will save time on the other end so we will -- what time will your witness be here in the morning, Mr. Feazell, or witnesses?

MR. FEAZELL: As soon as you want him. He is supposed to touch down tonight sometime here in Bryan.

THE COURT: All right, let us start at 8:00 o'clock then and hopefully, we will conclude the testimony tomorrow.

We are going to do a lot of work on the Charge this afternoon, and it may be that we will be prepared to proceed with the reading of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Charge and final arguments tomorrow afternoon.

Now, I don't want to commit myself to that for sure because we haven't really gotten started on the Charge yet, and until we get into it, we cannot accurately, predict for sure how long that will take, but perhaps, if you come in the morning at 8:00, we can conclude all testimony, and then if we are not sure about our time frame on the Charge, I will give you that number that you can call, and that way, you can go on about your lives and call in.

We can keep putting a message on the machine and save you another trip back up to the courthouse here, but the end is definitely in sight so far as all the evidence and the Charge.

So hopefully, we will be moving along to a conclusion on or before the end of this week, and remember the instructions of the Court, and I will see you at 8:00 o'clock in the morning, and we will proceed from there. Have a good evening.

> (Whereupon the jury retired from (the courtroom and the following (proceedings took place out of (their presence and hearing:

All right, do you THE COURT: all have a border plate charge to give us a starting

point that we can meet back here at 1:30 and get started with that, and get a copy, and we will make some -- take this copy of his motion that is file stamped and that attachment to go with the other part of it.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  REAVES: The motion has already been filed.

THE COURT: I know it, but he wants to add that other to it is what my understanding was.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, the letter here.

THE COURT: Yes, file mark it, and it will be included as part of the motion.

All right, say, 1:30. Let us come and start work on the Charge, men. We will meet here in the courtroom.

MR. FEAZELL: Fine.

1	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1985
2	MORNING SESSION: 8:00 a.m.
3	
4	(Whereupon the Defendant was
5	(present.
6	THE COURT: All right, let's
7	proceed.
8	MR. VANCE: Judge, we have
9	some matters that we need to take up before.
10	THE COURT: What are these
11	about here?
12	MR. VANCE: Well Judge, it is
13	our understanding that the State is going to call
14	Dr. Homer Campbell on rebuttal, and what has appeared
15	to have happened is over the weekend, they have
16	taken teeth impressions of Gilbert and Anthony
17	Melendez.
18	We have filed this motion to
19	exclude his rebuttal testimony and for the reasons
20	set therein.
21	We would ask that this particular
22	testimony not be allowed, and furthermore, if the
23	Court allows it, we would ask for a motion for
24	continuance in order that we can travel to California
25	or bring Dr. Vale back so he can, at least, look at

it so I can effectively confront and cross examine this witness.

I am not an expert in forensic odontology. I have no idea what this is all about, and I am going to need an expert to analyze the new material and discuss it with him and determine my effectiveness in representing Mr. Spence in this matter.

The other thing is that they have had almost six months to get these teeth impressions, and the testimony was in this particular case, Gilbert just had his teeth impressions made, I thought he said, last Saturday.

These men have already pled guilty, approximately, eight months ago, and why does the State at the last minute have to do this particular action?

THE COURT: Don't ask me. Ask Mr. Butler or Mr. Feazell.

MR. BUTLER: May I respond,

Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. BUTLER: Because there was no reason to do it until he brought it out on his cross examination on Friday the fact that Dr. Campbell

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had not examined the teeth of the two co-defendants, Anthony Melendez and Gilbert Melendez.

When he did that, then it became an issue in this trial, and then we were entitled to -- or obligated as far as representing our client to have Dr. Campbell examine both Gilbert Melendez and Anthony Melendez and compare their bite marks to the bite marks of the Defendant in this case, bite marks of the bodies of Jill Montgomery and Raylene Rice.

Now, as far as them having an opportunity, they certainly had an opportunity when Dr. Vale was here. As they are well aware, it does not require a search warrant. All it requires is a motion to compel. They can certainly have made that motion. They could have had Dr. Vale examine both Anthony Melendez and Gilbert Melendez at the time he was here.

We would certainly object for a motion for continuance.

Judge, Tony Melendez MR. VANCE: had already testified and gone on his way by the time Dr. Vale got here.

Judge, I cannot effectively cross examine this forensic odontologist unless I,

at least, have an opportunity to talk to an expert. 1 2 THE COURT: Have you tried to 3 contact Dr. Vale? MR. VANCE: Yes, sir, he is 5 still in trial in San Francisco. Plus, we have to 6 get the materials to him to see it. 7 I will fly to California, if 8 that is what is necessary. Of course, it will be 9 at McLennan County's expense, but we will go and 10 hope we can get him. 11 THE COURT: Have you talked to 12 him about that possibility? 13 MR. VANCE: I couldn't get ahold 14 of him. He is in trial. 15 THE COURT: He won't be in trial 16 It is three hours behind in California. now. 17 will probably be in bed. 18 Judge, the thing MR. FEAZELL: 19 is, it never came up in any of the other trials. 20 We didn't take any more samples from any suspects 21 because once you find a positive match, there ain't 22 any reason to keep looking. 23 MR. VANCE: Your Honor, that is 24 not true. Dr. Campbell specifically testified that 25

he looked at two other sets of impressions from people

1	in this case.
2	MR. BUTLER: As a result of
3	actions by the Defense attorneys.
4	MR. FEAZELL: That is correct,
5	at the first trial, Your Honor.
6	MR. VANCE: Not these Defense
7	attorneys.
8	MR. BUTLER: Well, we didn't say
9	that.
10	MR. FEAZELL: We didn't say that,
11	and there wasn't any reason to look at Gilbert and
12	Tony's teeth when you have got a positive match
13	until it was brought into issue by Defense Counsel
14	in this case last week last Friday.
15	MR. REAVES: And it was brought
16	out on Cross Examination of their witness, Judge.
17	It wasn't brought out in our defense our case.
18	They are trying to rebut something we brought out
19	on Cross Examination.
20	MR. VANCE: They could have
21	recalled Dr. Campbell in Direct before they rested
22	their case to alleviate this particular matter.
23	They have already had their teeth impressions prior
24	to them resting their case.
25	MR. REAVES: The purpose of

24

25

rebuttal is to rebut what we put on in our defensive case.

MR. VANCE: And we didn't attack that.

THE COURT: All right, let us go ahead and get started and at the recess, you all keep trying to talk to your man and Dr. Vale, and let me know because we are getting ready to go to the jury on this.

MR. VANCE: Judge, that is their first witness, I believe.

MR. BUTLER: No, he is not, but he will be coming up very shortly, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, go ahead and during -- or two of you -- one can go out and call Dr. Vale and see the status report.

MR. BUTLER: There is one other matter we need to take up outside the presence of the jury, I assume.

We have a photograph here that we intend to offer into evidence, and they are going to have some objection to it. So I thought we might as well take it up at this point and possibly save a little time.

THE COURT: All right, what is it?

MR. BUTLER: It is a photograph of Jill Montgomery. We are offering that to show that she owned a bracelet that is depicted on her wrist in that picture.

MR. REAVES: We will object to that, again, for the same reasons we are objecting to Dr. Campbell. None of the co-defendants testified there was a bracelet.

I am assuming they are offering it for corroboration of their testimony. What -this is the State's rebuttal case. They are supposed to be rebutting what we brought back on our defense.
We didn't question whether or not there was a bracelet on her.

We didn't bring up anything of that sort.

MR. BUTLER: We are entitled to corroborate the statements of the co-defendants, the accomplices, whatever you want to call them.

We will show by the evidence that shortly after

Gilbert Melendez and Anthony Melendez revealed to law enforcement the exact location of the crime, which was for the first time revealed to us, that shortly after that, law enforcement officers went to that exact location which has already been

rebuttal?

testified to and identified as the place where the attack upon Jill Montgomery took place and that when they went to that same -- they went for the purpose of looking for evidence, and that they dug down through the leaves at that location and found that bracelet.

THE COURT: Now, how is this

MR. FEAZELL: They brought it into issue, Judge, as far as the location of where the -- well, the map is not up there -- but where the offense took place by all their witnesses that they put on, I didn't see anything at Koehne Park, and they didn't hear anything at Koehne Park.

This is to prove it happened at Koehne Park.

MR. BUTLER: And also, it goes to the credibility of both Anthony Melendez and Gilbert Melendez because they both testified that that is exactly where it took place, and this is circumstantial evidence to corroborate the statements of both of them.

MR. REAVES: We didn't bring anything in defense saying that their reputation for truth and veracity was bad.

All we did was cross examine them. This is evidence that may have been admissible on their case in chief, but I don't think it is proper rebuttal evidence.

MR. FEAZELL: We are talking about the evidence that you put in in your case in chief which brought into issue whether or not the crime even happened in Koehne Park because of all the people who didn't see Spence's car, who didn't hear anything back in the woods, who didn't see anything.

It is to show that it did
happen there where it is said to have happened in
rebuttal to your -- what you brought into issue in
front of that jury through your witnesses.

MR. BUTLER: And we have a lot of cases, Judge, that say that circumstantial evidence can be used to corroborate testimony of the accomplice.

THE COURT: I am aware of that.

All right, the photograph will be admitted as

Exhibit -- whatever number it will be.

MR. REAVES: Our objection to that -- that is overruled?

THE COURT: Yes, sir, objection

2	1	overruled. It will be admitted. All right, let us
	. 2	bring the jury in. Go ahead, and let her mark that
	3	before we bring the jury in.
	4	" (Whereupon the instrument referred
	5	(to was marked for identification (and admitted into evidence as
	6	(State's Exhibit No. 44.
	7	THE COURT: All right, is there
	8	anything else?
	9	MR. BUTLER: There was writing
	10	on the back, Judge, and I attempted to mark it out.
	11	THE COURT: What was it, anyway?
. (1)	12	MR. BUTLER: It was just her
	13	mother's name and address and said "Return to Nancy
	14	Shaw" and address and phone number.
	15	THE COURT: Paste a piece of
	16	paper or something on the back of it. Let us go
	17	ahead and get started, and you can fix it up.
	18	(Whereupon the jury returned into
	19	(the courtroom and the following (proceedings took place:
	20	MR. BUTLER: May we proceed,
	21	Your Honor?
	22	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
(A)	23	MR. BUTLER: We call Nancy Shaw.
	24	STATE'S REBUTTAL EVIDENCE
	25	N A N C Y S H A W, recalled as a witness on